# GeoCarb

### The GeoStationary Carbon Observatory

Berrien Moore, Sean Crowell, Eric Burgh, Chris O'Dell, Greg McGarragh, Susan Kulawik, Cathy Chou, Brett Allard, Steve Merrihew, Dean Read, Shelly Finley, David Crisp, Annmarie Eldering, James Lemen, David Schimel, and many others!



# Goals of the Talk

- Introduce the notion of inferring emissions from trace gas observations
- Demonstrate the ability of satellites to make measurements of trace gases from space
- Introduce the GeoCarb mission

# Inferring Emissions from Observations

• Using trace gas measurements and models to infer sources



Regionally: Chemical Transport Models



- In situ measurements are regionally sparse and their interpretation is highly dependent on estimates of atmospheric transport, which makes emissions estimates uncertain
- Satellites provide another data source that has global coverage at moderate spatial resolution and lower precision/accuracy and is somewhat less sensitive to transport



# How do remote sensors make obs?

- Photons get emitted, absorbed and scattered by the atmosphere.
- We can model these processes and estimate gas concentrations that provide the best match for the measured radiances.
- This process has inherent uncertainties that make remotely sensed measurements less precise and less accurate







### Example Satellite Observing Systems

Associated standard deviation

IASI 7-year global mean (2008-2014) day



Clerbaux et al



### Example Satellite Observing Systems











### CO Surface Emissions from MOPITT



Total emission biomass burning for MopittV7 data







# The Difficulty with Low Earth Orbit

- Fixed overpass time not much information on diurnal cycle of constituents with a single satellite
- Revisit cycle can convolve sources and transport (though to a lesser extent than in situ measurements) without a sufficiently wide swath



TEMPO is a **geostationary** air quality monitoring mission that will return high resolution, high precision measurements of a large complement of tropospheric pollutants, including aerosols, O3, NO2, and others.



Species/Products		Typical value <sup>2</sup>	Required Precision	Expected Precision <sup>3</sup>	
				Worst	Nominal
O₃ Profile	0-2 km (ppb)	40	10	9.15	9.00
	FT (ppb) 4	50	10	5.03	4.95
	SOC 4	8×10 <sup>3</sup>	5%	0.81%	0.76%
Total O <sub>3</sub>		9×10 <sup>3</sup>	3%	1.54%	1.47%
NO <sub>2</sub> *		6	1.00	0.65	0.45
H <sub>2</sub> CO* (3/day)		10	10.0	2.30	1.95
SO <sub>2</sub> * (3/day)		10	10.0	8.54	5.70
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> * (3/day)		0.2	0.40	0.23	0.17
AOD		0.1 – 1	0.05	0.041	0.034
AAOD		0 - 0.05	0.03	0.025	0.020
Aerosol Index (AI)		-1 – +5	0.2	0.16	0.13
CF 4		0 - 1	0.05	0.015	0.011
CTP (hPa) <sup>4</sup>		200-900	100	85.0	60.0

<sup>7</sup> Spatial Resolution: 8×4.5 km<sup>2</sup> at the center of the domain. Time resolution: Hourly, unless noted.

<sup>2</sup>Typical values. Units are 10<sup>15</sup> molecules•cm<sup>-2</sup> for gases and unitless for aerosols/clouds, unless specified.

<sup>3</sup>Expected precision is viewing condition dependent; results for worst and nominal cases.

<sup>4</sup> FT, free troposphere: 2 km-tropopause, SOC: stratospheric O<sub>3</sub> column, CF: cloud fraction, CTP: cloud top pressure.

\* = background value. Pollution is higher, and in starred constituents, the precision is applied to polluted cases.

Threshold products at 8×9km<sup>2</sup> at 80 min. time resolution.



# The GeoCarb Mission:

#### Measuring Carbon Trace Gases and Vegetation Health from



#### Space

Principal Investigator Technology Development Host Spacecraft & Mission Ops

Berrien Moore, University of Oklahoma Lockheed Martin Advanced Technology Center

SES Government Solutions

Instrument	Single slit, 4-Channel IR Scanning Littrow Spectrometer			
Bands				
Measurements	$O_2^{}$ , $CO_2^{}$ , $\underline{CO}_2^{}$ , $CH_4^{}$ & Solar Induced Fluorescence			
Mass	158 kg (CBE)			
Dimensions	1.3 m x 1.14 m x 1.3 m			
Power	400W (CBE)			
Data Rate	10-100 Mbps			
Daily Soundings	~5,000,000 soundings per day			



# **GeoCarb** Mission Phasing

#### Passed into Phase B in November



### **GeoCarb** Instrument









### **Intensive Scans Multiple Times per Day**



Varying size cities: Oklahoma City, OK Wichita, Kansas Dallas , Texas Corpus Christi, Texas Varying size cities: Lake Parchartrain, LA Jackson, MI Memphis, TN St. Louis, MO Davenport, IA Dubuque, IA



Stripes are (left to right): 1.4, 1, 1, 1.2 degrees wide

Total time for observing above: 10.33 minutes 3 extra times per day: 33 minutes



### **GeoCarb Bands & Requirements**



Solar Induced Fluorescence (SIF),

O<sub>2</sub>, Clouds, Aerosol

CO<sub>2</sub>

CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, Clouds, Aerosol

CH<sub>4</sub>, CO, H<sub>2</sub>O

#### **Multi-Sounding Precision**

- CO<sub>2</sub> : 0.3% (1.2 ppm)
- CH<sub>4</sub> : 0.6% (10 ppb)
- CO : 10% or 12 ppb, whichever is greater

#### Single-Sounding Precision

• SIF : 0.75 W m<sup>-2</sup>  $\mu$ m<sup>-1</sup> sr<sup>-1</sup>



### Retrieval of XCO2, XCH4, and XCO

EGU

•

Atmos. Meas. Tech., 7, 959–981, 2014 www.atmos-meas-tech.net/7/959/2014/ doi:10.5194/amt-7-959-2014 © Author(s) 2014. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



Atmospheric

Measurement 2

**Techniques** 



 $\odot$   $\odot$ 

### Performance of a geostationary mission, geoCARB, to measure $CO_2$ , $CH_4$ and CO column-averaged concentrations

I. N. Polonsky<sup>1</sup>, D. M. O'Brien<sup>2</sup>, J. B. Kumer<sup>3</sup>, C. W. O'Dell<sup>4</sup>, and the geoCARB Team<sup>5</sup>

Atmos. Meas. Tech., 9, 4633–4654, 2016 www.atmos-meas-tech.net/9/4633/2016/ doi:10.5194/amt-9-4633-2016 © Author(s) 2016. CC Attribution 3.0 License.

Potential of a geostationary geoCARB mission to estimate surface emissions of CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and CO in a polluted urban environment: case study Shanghai

Denis M. O'Brien<sup>1</sup>, Igor N. Polonsky<sup>2</sup>, Steven R. Utembe<sup>3</sup>, and Peter J. Rayner<sup>3</sup> X<sub>CH</sub> Xco X<sub>CO2</sub> 200 160 250 b = 0.09%=-7.24% b = 0.13% b 180 140 = 1.98% s = 0.36%s = 0.44%S 160 200 120 140 Number Number Number 100 120 150 100 80 80 100 60 40 40 50 20 20 0 0.0 0.5 1.0 -0.5 0.0 -10 0 -1.0 -0.5-1.0 0.5 1.0 -20 10 Relative error in X<sub>CH</sub>, (%) Relative error in X<sub>CO<sub>2</sub></sub> (%) Relative error in X<sub>CO</sub> (%) Level 1 Requirements

From Polonsky et al. 2014 and O'Brien et al, 2016, synthetic retrievals showed good performance for all gases in clear and polluted atmospheres

- Accuracy requirements met or nearly met for CO2, CH4 and CO
- In addition, ability to capture power-plant plumes in the presence of imperfect aerosols

20



# CO Can Also Help Interpret CO<sub>2</sub>

- CO and CO<sub>2</sub> are co-emitted during combustion and so we can use the correlations between them to improve CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in urban regions.
- The figure below shows the ability of Geo $\overline{C}$ arb data to constrain weekly emissions at 5km scales with CO<sub>2</sub> alone (left) and including CO (right).



### GeoCarb is an International Partnership!



We'd love to collaborate! berrien@ou.edu (or



### Collaboration with AEM/UNAM





- Remote sensors must be calibrated, and the resulting retrievals must be validated against independent data and/or models to remove bias.
- GeoCarb, like OCO-2/3 and GOSAT, will be validated against TCCON, which is in turn validated against in situ observations.



# Summary

- GeoCarb is the first Earth Science hosted payload to make carbon gas measurements from GEO
- GeoCarb is in Preliminary Design, preparing for Confirmation in February.
- GeoCarb instrument design, calibration planning, algorithms, and validation strongly leverage OCO-2 experience, with appropriate modifications
- Scanning strategies to balance minimizing sampling bias for regional flux estimation and targeting point sources
- We'd love to collaborate: urban scale modeling, multi-tracer studies, validation opportunities, ...