Quantifying the Health Effects of Air Pollution Globally

J. Jason West Environmental Sciences & Engineering University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill

Beijing – January 14, 2013 Some stations reported >500 μg m⁻³ 24-hr avg. PM_{2.5} (>20 times the WHO guideline)









Delhi, Nov. 6, 2018



91% of the global population lives in areas with ambient PM_{2.5} concentrations that violate WHO's guideline (10 μg m⁻³ annual average)

London, 1952



Harvard Six-Cities Study (Dockery et al., 1993):
First long-term cohort study of chronic mortality.
~8000 adults followed over many years.



Figure 3. Estimated Adjusted Mortality-Rate Ratios and Pollution Levels in the Six Cities. Mean values are shown for the measures of air pollution. P denotes Portage, Wisconsin; T Topeka, Kansas; W Watertown, Massachusetts; L St. Louis; H Harriman, Tennessee; and S Steubenville, Ohio. MONDAY, JULY 19, 1993

New Hork Eines

STUDIES SAY SOOT KILLS UP TO 60,000 IN U.S. EACH YEAR

CALL TO REDIRECT EFFORTS

Little Is Spent on Particles That Harm Mostly Young, Elderly and Those With Asthma By PHILIP J. HILTS Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, July 18 - Several studies have concluded that tens of thousands of deaths are being caused in the United States each year by a form of air pollution that for the most part falls within current legal limits: tiny particles of soot that are inhaled. Rough calculations emerging from studies at the Environmental Protection Agency and the Harvard School of Public Health suggest that 50,000 to 60,000 deaths a year are caused by the particle pollution, a far larger number than any other form of pollution and one that rivals the death toll from some cancers.

The deaths occur mostly among children with respiratory problems, people of all ages with asthma and the elderly with illnesses like bronchitis, emphysema and pneumonia.

Air Pollution & Health Motivating Questions

- What specific characteristics and components or specific mixtures are responsible for specific health impacts?
- 2) How important are specific source sectors or regions for health impacts in a given region?
- 3) Would other indicators of the pollutant mixture be more effective for managing air pollution health impacts?
- 4) What are health impacts associated with different energy and emission control scenarios?





- Measurements of chemical components
- Spatially-distributed measurements (cheap sensors)
- Satellite observations
- Computer models



- Which pollutants to emphasize, averaging times
- Risk functions
- Biological mechanisms



pubs.acs.org/est

Feature

¹ "What We Breathe Impacts Our Health: Improving Understanding ² of the Link between Air Pollution and Health"

³ J. Jason West,^{*,†} Aaron Cohen,^{*,‡} Frank Dentener,^{*,§} Bert Brunekreef,[∥] Tong Zhu,^{*,⊥} Ben Armstrong,[#]
⁴ Michelle L. Bell,[∇] Michael Brauer,^O Gregory Carmichael,[◆] Dan L. Costa,[¶] Douglas W. Dockery,^{*}
⁵ Michael Kleeman,[⊗] Michal Krzyzanowski,[™] Nino Künzli,^{©,●} Catherine Liousse,[◊]
⁶ Shih-Chun Candice Lung,[@] Randall V. Martin,^{¢,§} Ulrich Pöschl,[•] C. Arden Pope, III,[¥] James M. Roberts,[%]
⁷ Armistead G. Russell,[€] and Christine Wiedinmyer^A

- Air pollution is underappreciated for global health.
- Air pollution and its health impacts are changing globally, and will change in ways interrelated with climate change.
- Air pollution science offers new possibilities: new measurement methods measuring more chemical components, cheap sensors that can be widely deployed, satellites, and models.
- There is a need for the communities of air pollution science and air pollution health effects science to work together better.



Health impact function



- Lung Cancer (LC)

Population and Baseline Mortality Rates

Total Population, persons

(Landscan 2011 at 30"x30" gridded to 0.67°x0.5°)



Population 25+, persons

(Landscan 2011 at 30"x30" gridded to 0.67°x0.5°)





Baseline Mortality Rates, deaths per year per 100,000

(GBD 2010, country level, AllAges > gridded to $0.67^{\circ}x0.5^{\circ}$)

IHD ^{off} ^{off}

Stroke



COPD







12-km CMAQ





Global model 2.8° resolution

-20% Global Anthrop. Methane Emissions: 30,200 avoided premature deaths in 2030 due to reduced ozone



West et al., PNAS, 2006

Research from my lab

- 1) How many people die each year due to exposure to ambient air pollution?
- 2) How will climate change affect global air pollution and air pollution-related deaths?
- 3) What are trends in air pollution-related deaths in the US?
- 4) If we slow down climate change, what are the benefits for air pollution and health?

Global mortality burden – ACCMIP ensemble

Ozone-related mortality

470,000 (95% CI: 140,000 - 900,000)



PM_{2.5}-related mortality(*)

2.1 million (95% CI: 1.3 - 3.0 million)



(*) PM_{2.5} calculated as a sum of species (dark blue) PM_{2.5} as reported by 4 models (dark green) Light-colored bars - low-concentration threshold (5.8 μg m⁻³)

Global Burden: Ozone-related mortality

Global and regional mortality per year

		Deaths
Pogians	Total	per
Regions	deaths	million
		people (*)
North America	34,400	121
Europe	32,800	96
Former Soviet Union	10,600	66
Middle East	16,200	68
India	118,000	212
East Asia	203,000	230
Southeast Asia	33,300	119
South America	6,970	38
Africa	17,300	73
Australia	469	29
Global	472,000	149





Respiratory mortality, **deaths yr**⁻¹ (1000 km²)⁻¹, multi-model mean in each grid cell, 14 models

Global Burden: PM_{2.5}-related mortality

Global and regional mortality per year

Regions		Deaths
	Total	per
	deaths	million
		people (*)
North America	43,000	152
Europe	154,000	448
Former Soviet	128.000	793
Union		
Middle East	88,700	371
India	397,000	715
East Asia	1,049,000	1,191
Southeast Asia	158,000	564
South America	16,800	92
Africa	77,500	327
Australia	1,250	78
Global	2,110,000	665

(*) Exposed population (age 30 and older)



CPD+LC mortality, deaths yr⁻¹ (1000 km²)⁻¹, multi-model mean in each grid cell, 6 models

Global burden of disease of air pollution (2017)

Global Deaths per Year

- **Ambient PM_{2.5} pollution:**
- **Ambient ozone pollution:**

2.9 (2.5 – 3.4) million : 0.47 (0.18 – 0.77) million

1 in 19 deaths globally!

Household air pollution from solid fuels: 1.6 (1.4 – 1.9) million

1 in 45 deaths globally!

Ambient PM_{2.5} pollution is the 8th leading risk factor for death globally.

GBD 2017 Team, *Lancet*, 2018

1 High systolic blood pressure
2 Smoking
3 High fasting plasma glucose
4 High body-mass index
5 Short gestation for birthweight
6 Low birthweight for gestation
7 Alcohol use
8 High LDL cholesterol
9 Child wasting
10 Ambient particulate matter
11 Low whole grains
12 High sodium
13 Low fruit
14 Unsafe water source
15 Impaired kidney function

16 Household air pollution

A new method (M³Fusion-v1) for combining observations and Geoscientific § Model Development 💈 multiple model output for an improved estimate of the global surface ozone distribution





EGU

Discussions

(b) Multi-model composite + bias correction

Global burden of disease of air pollution (2017)

Global Deaths per Year

- **Ambient PM_{2.5} pollution:**
- **Ambient ozone pollution:**

2.9 (2.5 – 3.4) million : 0.47 (0.18 – 0.77) million

1 in 19 deaths globally!

Household air pollution from solid fuels: 1.6 (1.4 – 1.9) million

1 in 45 deaths globally!

Ambient PM_{2.5} pollution is the 8th leading risk factor for death globally.

GBD 2017 Team, *Lancet*, 2018

1 High systolic blood pressure
2 Smoking
3 High fasting plasma glucose
4 High body-mass index
5 Short gestation for birthweight
6 Low birthweight for gestation
7 Alcohol use
8 High LDL cholesterol
9 Child wasting
10 Ambient particulate matter
11 Low whole grains
12 High sodium
13 Low fruit
14 Unsafe water source
15 Impaired kidney function

16 Household air pollution



Global burden of disease of air pollution (2017)

Global Deaths per Year

- **Ambient PM_{2.5} pollution:**
- **Ambient ozone pollution:**

2.9 (2.5 – 3.4) million 1 in 19 deaths 0.47 (0.18 – 0.77) million globally!

Household air pollution from solid fuels: 1.6 (1.4 – 1.9) million

1 in 45 deaths globally!

Ambient PM_{2.5} pollution is the 8th leading risk factor for death globally.

Burnett et al. (PNAS, 2018) estimate 8.9 (7.5-10.3) million deaths from PM_{2.5} in 2015.

GBD 2017 Team, *Lancet*, 2018

- 1 High systolic blood pressure 2 Smoking 3 High fasting plasma glucose 4 High body-mass index 5 Short gestation for birthweight 6 Low birthweight for gestation 7 Alcohol use 8 High LDL cholesterol 9 Child wasting 10 Ambient particulate matter 11 Low whole grains 12 High sodium 13 Low fruit 14 Unsafe water source 15 Impaired kidney function
- 16 Household air pollution

Ozone-related mortality (sectors zeroed-out)

Contributions of each sector to total ozone respiratory mortality, fraction of total burden in each cell





Industry







PM_{2.5}-related mortality (sectors zeroed-out)

Contributions of each sector to total PM_{2.5} mortality (IHD+Stroke+COPD+LC), fraction of total burden in each cell



Summary schematic of air quality-climate connections



Impact of RCP8.5 Climate Change on Global Air Pollution Mortality: ACCMIP Models



Impact of RCP8.5 Climate Change on Global Air Pollution Mortality: ACCMIP Models





Health Benefits of Decreases in PM_{2.5} and Ozone in the United States, 1990-2015

Omar Nawaz, Yuqiang Zhang, Daniel Q. Tong, Aaron van Donkelaar, Randall Martin, J. Jason West

- * Air pollutant datasets:
 - A 21-year CMAQ simulation (1990-2011) EPA
 - The North American Chemical Reanalysis (2009-2015) NACR
 - N. America PM_{2.5} satellite-derived data combined with a model and surface observations (1999-2012) SAT

* We use annual county-level population and baseline causespecific mortality rates from the CDC to assess air pollution mortality in each year.



Trends in PM_{2.5} (SAT)





US PM_{2.5}-related deaths



Zhang, ACP 2018; Nawaz, in prep.



Comparison with Other Studies (PM_{2.5})



Zhang, ACP 2018; Nawaz, in prep.



PM_{2.5} Mortality Burden (SAT)



We estimate that **29400** deaths were avoided in **2011** from lower PM_{2.5} concentrations Zhang, ACP 2018; Nawaz, in prep.



US O₃-related deaths



Zhang, ACP 2018; Nawaz, in prep.



Comparison with Other Studies (O_3)





O₃ Mortality Burden



We estimate that **2100** deaths were avoided in **2015** from lower O_3 concentrations ³⁹

Which kills the most in the US?

- A) Air pollution
- B) All gun shootings
- C) All traffic accidents
- D) AIDS

Which kills the most in the US?

- A) Air pollution 47,000
- B) All gun shootings **36,300**
- C) All traffic accidents 36,200D) AIDS 6,200

Air Pollution is responsible for 1 in 58 deaths in the US.

Co-benefits of GHG Mitigation for Air Quality

1) Immediate and Local



2) Long-Term and Global

Objective: Analyze global co-benefits for air quality and human health to 2100 via both mechanisms.

Approach

Years	Emissions GCAM	Meteorology GFDL AM3	Name
2000	2000	2000	2000
2030,	GCAM Reference	RCP8.5	REF
2050, 2100	RCP4.5	RCP4.5	RCP4.5
	GCAM Reference	RCP4.5	eREFm45

- Use the GCAM reference for emissions rather than RCP8.5, for consistency with RCP4.5.
- Simulations conducted in MOZART-4.
 - 2° x 2.5° horizontal resolution.
 - 5 meteorology years for each case.
 - Fixed methane concentrations.
 - Compares well with ACCMIP RCP4.5.

Co-benefits – PM_{2.5} Concentration



Global population-weighted, annual average PM_{2.5}

West et al. Nat. Clim. Ch. 2013

Co-benefits – Global Premature Mortality



Projection of global population and baseline mortality rates from International Futures.

 $PM_{2.5}$ co-benefits (CPD + lung cancer mortality) 2030: 0.4±0.2 million yr⁻¹ 2050: 1.1±0.5 2100: 1.5±0.6 Ozone co-benefits (respiratory mortality) 2030: 0.09±0.06 2050: 0.2±0.1 2100: 0.7±0.5 West et al. NCC 2013

Co-benefits – Valuation of Avoided Mortality



Red: High valuation (2030 global mean \$3.6 million) Blue: Low valuation (2030 global mean \$1.2 million) Green: Median and range of global C price (13 models)

West et al. Nat. Clim. Ch. 2013

Downscaling Co-benefits to USA (2050)

RCP4.5 - REF



Zhang et al. ACP, 2016

Downscaling Co-benefits to USA (2050)



Most PM_{2.5} cobenefits from **domestic** reductions.

Most ozone co-benefits from **foreign** and **methane** reductions.

Zhang et al. ACP, 2016

Domestic vs. Foreign Co-benefits: PM_{2.5}



Domestic GHG mitigation accounts for 85% of the total avoided PM_{2.5} mortality.

Zhang et al. ERL 2017

Domestic vs. Foreign Co-benefits: O₃



Foreign countries' GHG mitigation accounts for 62% of the total avoided deaths of O₃.

Zhang et al. ERL, 2017

US Co-benefits in 2050

- Avoided premature deaths from GHG mitigation: 16000 (CI: 11700-20300) from PM_{2.5}, and 8000 (CI: 3600-12400) from O₃.
- Avoided heat stress mortality from RCP4.5 relative to RCP8.5: 2340 (CI: 1370-3320) (Ying Li).
- Monetized co-benefits in 2050 are \$49 (32-67) per ton CO₂ reduced at low VSL, \$148 (96-201) at high VSL.
- Foreign GHG mitigation accounts for 62% of the total avoided deaths from O_3 , and 15% for $PM_{2.5}$.
- Previous regional or national co-benefits studies may underestimate the full co-benefits of coordinated global actions.
- U.S. can gain significantly greater co-benefits, especially for ozone, by collaborating with other countries to combat climate change.

Zhang et al. *ERL*, 2017; Li et al in preparation

Thank you

Contributions from students: Yuqiang Zhang, Raquel A. Silva, Omar Nawaz, Zachariah Adelman, Meridith Fry, Susan Anenberg

Collaborators: Steven J. Smith, Jared H. Bowden, Owen Cooper, Kai-Lan Chang, Vaishali Naik, Larry Horowitz, Jean-Francois Lamarque, ACCMIP modelers, others

Funding Sources:

- EPA STAR Grant #834285
- NIEHS Grant #1 R21 ES022600-01
- NASA Health & Air Quality Applied Sciences Team
- EPA Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards
- Portugal Foundation for Science and Technology Fellowship
- EPA STAR Fellowship
- UNC Dissertation Completion Fellowship
- US Department of Energy, Office of Science

NOAA GFDL for computing resources
 UNC Climate Health and Air Quality Lab
 West.web.unc.edu @ProfJasonWest